2016 Continuum of Care Program Competition PA-603 – Beaver County Project Scoring Tool

Renewal Project Name: _		
Reviewer Name:	Date:	

Measure	YES	NO	TOTAL
1. Project provides Permanent Supportive Housing (Renewal 3A.5)			
2. Project provides Transitional Supportive Housing (Renewal 3A.5)			
3. Project's APR was submitted on time. (Renewal 2B)			
*Project applicants for renewal funding in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition should			
answer the APR question on Screen 2B of the FY 2016 renewal project application for the most recent applicable grant term. In most cases, this will mean responding with respect to the grant awarded through the FY 2013 CoC Program Competition. For recipients who			
renewed 1 year grants for the first time in FY 2014, this will mean responding with respect to			
the original grant awarded either through the FY 2013 CoC Competition or a CoC Competition preceding FY 2013. Finally, for recipients who renewed for the first time in FY 2015, or who are applying for renewal for the first time in FY 2016, this will mean simply selecting "Yes" to account for the APR not being available in e-snaps. In this way, applicants will not be penalized for the APR not being available in e-snaps, which is out of the			
applicant's control (HUD AAQ).			
4. Project maintained consistent quarterly draw downs (Renewal 2B, TAR)			
5. No project funds were recaptured (Renewal 2B, TAR)			
6. Provides a clear and concise description of the scope of the project. (Renewal 3B)			
* The description should describe the community needs, target populations to be served,			
project plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive service needs, projected	15		
project outcomes, coordination with other sources/partners, and the reason why CoC			
Program support is needed (Detailed Instructions for Renewals).			
7. Project takes part in Coordinated Entry (Renewal 3B)			
8. Project follows a Housing First Model (Renewal 3B)			
9. 100% of turned over beds are prioritized for CH (for PH: Renewal 4B; for TH:			
Renewal 3B)			
10. Majority of HH's access mainstream resources (Renewal 3B, TAR, HMIS data)			
11. 80% of HHs remain in PH or exited to permanent destinations (HMIS data, TAR)			
12. Projects details 25% match (cash or in-kind) (Renewal 6H)			
13. Match letter is attached to the renewal			
14. Award up to 20 points for the mention of:			
- prioritizing homeless veterans, youth, families with children			
- connection to mainstream resources for housing stability			
- exit to permanent housing destinations at program completion			
(Please provide justification for this score below)			

Justification for question #14:

Other comments (feel free to use the back if necessary):

2016 Continuum of Care Program Competition PA-603 – Beaver County Project Scoring Tool

Review & Rank Process

A diverse sub-committee was gathered representing various perspectives of our housing and homeless partners. They brought a broad knowledge base to the review including: local CoC priorities; regional needs and practices; local housing challenges; victim service provision; effective program management; the unique needs of homeless HHs; and mainstream resources.

Our local priorities align with HUD's 2016 priorities and are embedded in our scoring tool with these measures: using coordinated entry; prioritizing chronically homeless HH, and veterans, families, and youth; utilizing Housing First; maintenance or exit to PH. Additionally, the sub-committee was aware of our local CoC's commitment to our one Transitional Housing project that serves people with substance abuse. They understood that our local community has a high occurrence of substance abuse and that the TH model has proven effective with this target population. The sub-committee was also informed about the unique roles of HMIS and Coordinated Entry.

A week before the ranking meeting, reviewers were given packets for each renewal project and one new project. The packets included:

- Project application
- Results from the Technical Assistance Reviews (TAR)
- HMIS data from the housing programs that would be used to complete APRs including: number who maintained/exited to PH; daily utilization rate; number who maintained/increased income; and length of stay
- Project Scoring Tool for each project

After reviewing the packets, the reviewers scored each project accordingly. When the reviewers met they ensured consistent understanding of each standard, and then shared and discussed their scores for each project. The scores were then averaged to give an overall score for each project. In addition to the unique roles of HMIS and Coordinated Entry the reviewers also considered information from their TAR summaries. The reviewers then worked as a group to rank each project according to the scores while also considering HUD and local priorities.

Should you have any questions about the Review & Rank process please contact Dina Ciabattoni at ciabattonidina@gmail.com or at 724.846.1636.